Website Evaluation Form

**Instructions for use:**

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

*Before you distribute this form to your evaluators,* you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

**I. General Information**

1. URL of site evaluated: http://cb386.neocities.org/pilot%20site/carson\_banks\_pilot\_site.html
2. Author of site evaluated: \_\_\_\_Carson Banks\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
3. Evaluator’s first name:­­\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Dylan\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**II.** **Web site was evaluated on the following system**

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows XP, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 512 MB RAM):

Hp Laptop; Windows

1. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 8.0, Mozilla Firefox 2.0)

Google Chrome

1. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):

Modem

**III Design and Development**

**Instruction**: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **DESIGN** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackDocument has separate pages in a good format and ordering; layout still could be improved. |
| 1. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackEverything seems logically spaced out, background and colors well represent this website. |
| 1. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackNavigation is very simple and easy to understand from user standpoint, hard to get disoriented. |
| 1. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackAll pages link back to homepage with link at the top of page. |
| 1. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackTwo of the three pictures on the site represent photos taken of team and clearly show that. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackNo load time for pictures, or going page to page. |
| 1. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackAll pictures seem to be appropriate size, would look better if they were spaced out and centered. |
| 1. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackYes the gold compliments the black text well. |
| 1. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **CONTENT** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackAll titles clearly represent pages without hesitation. |
| 1. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback |
| 1. Links are up-to-date and functional.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackSome links aren’t operational |
| 1. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackAll content is embedded in less than 2 layers. |
| 1. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackIf content is updated regularly users will continue to be encouraged to come visit the site as it is very informational for user. |
| 1. Information is accurate and current.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackAll events are up to date, some of the links however also need to be refreshed. |
| 1. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **CREDIBILITY** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackAll that is listed is authors name at bottom of page. |
| 1. Contact person with e-mail address is included.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackNo details are available for contact via email. |
| 1. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackNo bias  |
| 1. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackDon’t believe it infringes on any other website; and seems original. |
| 1. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackAll punctuation, spelling, and grammar seem great. |
| 1. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.
 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedbackDate is not listed. |